

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BLANCA MIRANDA CRUZ,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

ISDEL SURI RUIZ, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01509-GMN-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 15]

Pending before the Court is Defendant Allstate's motion to compel discovery. Docket No. 15. Plaintiff filed a response in opposition. Docket No. 16. The Court does not require a reply.

Except in circumstances not present here, "[t]he failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any motion . . . constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion." Local Rule 7-2(d).¹ Plaintiff's responsive brief does not contain any legal authorities of any kind. As such, the motion to compel is deemed unopposed.

Accordingly, Defendant Allstate's motion to compel is **GRANTED**. Amended interrogatories providing the disputed information must be served by November 17, 2020.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 10, 2020



Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ The Court notes further that the party seeking to avoid discovery bears the burden of showing why the discovery should not be permitted. *V5 Techs. v. Switch, Ltd.*, 334 F.R.D. 306, 309 (D. Nev. 2019) (citing *Blankenship v. Hearst Corp.*, 519 F.2d 418, 429 (9th Cir. 1975)).